

 CIVIL GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES
 Report No
 16623/R001

 6 - 8 Rose Avenue, Croydon, Vic 3136
 Date Issued
 11/01/17

ClientWINSLOW CONSTRUCTORS PTY LTD (CAMPBELLFIELD)Tested byACProjectASTON ESTATE - STAGE 17Date tested22/12/16LocationCRAIGIEBURNChecked byJHF

Feature CLASS 4 Layer thickness 300 mm Time: 09:22:50

| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|--|-------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| Location | | | | Vantage E | 3oulevard | | |
| C | Chainage | 180 | 130 | 80 | 30 | 30 | 10 |
| | Offset | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| | | east | west | east | west | east | west |
| | | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb |
| Approximate depth from F.S.L. | т | | | | | | |
| Measurement depth | mm | 275 | 275 | 275 | 275 | 275 | 275 |
| Field wet density | t/m³ | 2.38 | 2.40 | 2.39 | 2.38 | 2.37 | 2.40 |
| Field dry density | t/m³ | 2.23 | 2.24 | 2.24 | 2.25 | 2.24 | 2.27 |
| Field moisture content | % | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Material source and location Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density | t/m³ | | ZUII | nm Class 4 - MODI 2.2 | IFIED | llert | |
| Maximum Dry Density | t/m³ | | | 2.2 | 25 | | |
| Optimum Moisture Content | % | | | 8. | 5 | | |
| Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Oversize rock retained on sieve | mm | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| | wet | - | 1 - 1 | ! | | - ' | 1 - |
| Percent of oversize material | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | L | |
| Percent of oversize material | dry | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Percent of oversize material Adjusted Maximum Dry Density | t/m³ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Percent of oversize material | t/m³ | - - - | | - - - | - - | - - | - - - |
| Percent of oversize material Adjusted Maximum Dry Density Adjusted Optimum Moisture Content | t/m³ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Percent of oversize material Adjusted Maximum Dry Density Adjusted Optimum Moisture Content Moisture Variation From | t/m³ t % | 1.0% | 1.5% | 2.0% | 2.5% | 2.5% | 2.5% |
| Percent of oversize material Adjusted Maximum Dry Density Adjusted Optimum Moisture Content | t/m³ t % | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Percent of oversize material Adjusted Maximum Dry Density Adjusted Optimum Moisture Content Moisture Variation From | t/m³ t % | 1.0% | 1.5% | 2.0% | 2.5% | 2.5% | 2.5% |



July Jz

A581ASSIGNED V1.13 MAR 13

The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/National standards. Accredited for compliance to ISO/IEC 17025.

Accreditation No 9909



 CIVIL GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES
 Report No
 16623/R002

 6 - 8 Rose Avenue, Croydon, Vic 3136
 Date Issued
 11/01/17

 Client
 WINSLOW CONSTRUCTORS PTY LTD (CAMPBELLFIELD)
 Tested by
 AC

 Project
 ASTON ESTATE - STAGE 17
 Date tested
 22/12/16

 Location
 CRAIGIEBURN
 Checked by
 JHF

FeatureCLASS 4Layer thickness300 mmTime:09:50:02

| Test No | | 7 | 8 | 9 | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|---|---|--|
| Location | | Vantage | Elevation | Boulevard | | | |
| | | Boulevard | | | | | |
| | Chainage | 10 | 700 | 700 | | | |
| | Offset | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | | | |
| | | east | south | north | | | |
| | | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | | | |
| Approximate depth from F.S.L. | m | | | | | | |
| Measurement depth | mm | 275 | 275 | 275 | | | |
| Field wet density | t/m³ | 2.44 | 2.38 | 2.38 | | | |
| Field dry density | t/m³ | 2.26 | 2.24 | 2.25 | | | |
| Field moisture content | % | 8.0 | 6.5 | 6.0 | | | |
| Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density Optimum Moisture Content | t/m³ % | | | MOD 2. 8 | | | |
| Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 | 70 | | | | | | |
| Oversize rock retained on sieve | mm | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | | | |
| Percent of oversize material | wet | - | - | - | | | |
| Percent of oversize material | dry | - | - | - | | | |
| Adjusted Maximum Dry Density | t/m³ | - | - | - | | | |
| Adjusted Optimum Moisture Conte | nt % | - | - | - | | | |
| Matatau Mariatian Fran | _ | 0.50/ | 2.00/ | 0.50/ | 1 | 1 | |
| Moisture Variation From | = | 0.5% | 2.0% | 2.5% | | | |
| Ontimum Maistura Canta | ent | dry | dry | dry | | | |
| Optimum Moisture Conte | | | | | | | |
| Moisture Ratio (R _m) | % | 96.5 | 77.0 | 72.0 | | | |



July Jz

A581ASSIGNED V1.13 MAR 13

The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/National standards. Accredited for compliance to ISO/IEC 17025.

Accreditation No 9909



 CIVIL GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES
 Report No
 16623/R003

 6 - 8 Rose Avenue, Croydon, Vic 3136
 Date Issued
 11/01/17

 Client
 WINSLOW CONSTRUCTORS PTY LTD (CAMPBELLFIELD)
 Tested by
 AC

 Project
 ASTON ESTATE - STAGE 17
 Date tested
 10/01/17

 Location
 CRAIGIEBURN
 Checked by
 JHF

Feature CLASS 3 Layer thickness 150 mm Time: 09:21:04

| | | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|---|--------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| Location | | Zeal | | Altamo | nt Road | • | Sona |
| | | Way | | | | | Street |
| Cha | inage | 130 | 175 | 125 | 75 | 25 | 25 |
| (| Offset | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| | | north | south | north | south | north | south |
| | | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb |
| Approximate depth from F.S.L. | m | | | | | | |
| Measurement depth | mm | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 |
| Field wet density | t/m³ | 2.17 | 2.18 | 2.16 | 2.15 | 2.17 | 2.16 |
| Field dry density | t/m³ | 1.99 | 1.99 | 1.97 | 1.97 | 1.99 | 1.96 |
| Field moisture content | % | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 9.5 |
| Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density | t/m³ | | | MOD 2.0 | IFIED 00 | | |
| Date of assignment Material source and location | | | | 06/1 - CC Class 3 | 2/16 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Maximum Dry Density Optimum Moisture Content | vm³ % | | | | | | |
| Oblimum vioisture Content | 70 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | .5 | | |
| | • | | | | .5 | | |
| Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 | mm | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve | mm wet | 19.0 | 19.0 | | | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve Percent of oversize material | | 19.0 - - | 19.0 - - | | | 19.0 - - | 19.0 |
| Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve Percent of oversize material Percent of oversize material | wet | - | - | 19.0 - | 19.0 | - | 19.0 |
| Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve Percent of oversize material Percent of oversize material Adjusted Maximum Dry Density Adjusted Optimum Moisture Content | wet dry | - | - | 19.0 - - | 19.0 | - | 19.0 |
| Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve Percent of oversize material Percent of oversize material Adjusted Maximum Dry Density | wet dry t/m³ | | - | 19.0 - - | 19.0 | - | 19.0 |
| Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve Percent of oversize material Percent of oversize material Adjusted Maximum Dry Density | wet dry t/m³ | | - | 19.0 - - | 19.0 | - | 19.0 |
| Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve Percent of oversize material Percent of oversize material Adjusted Maximum Dry Density Adjusted Optimum Moisture Content | wet dry t/m³ | - - - | - - - | 19.0 - - - - | 19.0 - - - - | - - - | |
| Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve Percent of oversize material Percent of oversize material Adjusted Maximum Dry Density Adjusted Optimum Moisture Content Moisture Variation From | wet dry t/m³ | 2.5% | 2.0% | 19.0 - - - - - 2.0% | 19.0 - - - - - 2.5% | 2.5% | - - - - 1.5% |
| Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve Percent of oversize material Percent of oversize material Adjusted Maximum Dry Density Adjusted Optimum Moisture Content Moisture Variation From | wet dry t/m³ | 2.5% | 2.0% | 19.0 - - - - - 2.0% | 19.0 - - - - - 2.5% | 2.5% | - - - - 1.5% |



July Jz

A581ASSIGNED V1.13 MAR 13

The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/National standards. Accredited for compliance to ISO/IEC 17025.

Accreditation No 9909



 CIVIL GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES
 Report No
 16623/R004

 6 - 8 Rose Avenue, Croydon, Vic 3136
 Date Issued
 11/01/17

 Client
 WINSLOW CONSTRUCTORS PTY LTD (CAMPBELLFIELD)
 Tested by
 AC

 Project
 ASTON ESTATE - STAGE 17
 Date tested
 10/01/17

 Location
 CRAIGIEBURN
 Checked by
 JHF

Feature CLASS 3 Layer thickness 175 mm Time: 10:34:54

| Test No | | 16 | 17 | 18 | | |
|--|-----------|-------------|---------|-----------|-------------------|--|
| Location | | Gilded | Ashwort | th Street | | |
| | | Way | | | | |
| | Chainage | 250 | 30 | 80 | | |
| | Offset | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | | |
| | | east | west | east | | |
| | | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | | |
| Approximate depth from F.S.L. | т | | | | | |
| Measurement depth | mm | 150 | 150 | 150 | | |
| Field wet density | t/m³ | 2.13 | 2.17 | 2.19 | | |
| Field dry density | t/m³ | 1.96 | 1.98 | 1.99 | | |
| Field moisture content | % | 8.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 | | |
| Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density Optimum Moisture Content | t/m³ % | | | 2. | IFIED 00 .5 | |
| Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 | | | ı | | .5 | |
| Oversize rock retained on sieve | mm | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | | |
| Percent of oversize material | wet | - | - | - | | |
| Percent of oversize material | dry | - | - | - | | |
| Adjusted Maximum Dry Density | t/m³ | - | - | - | | |
| Adjusted Optimum Moisture Conte | ent % | - | - | - | | |
| | | 0.50/ | 2.0% | 2.0% | | |
| Maintura Variation Fran | ~ | ·) hu/. | | | | |
| Moisture Variation From | | 2.5% | | | | |
| Moisture Variation From Optimum Moisture Cont | | 2.5% dry | dry | dry | | |
| | | | | | | |



Approved Signatory : Justin Fry



 CIVIL GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES
 Report No
 16623

 6 - 8 Rose Avenue, Croydon, Vic 3136
 Date Issued
 18/01/17

 Client
 WINSLOW CONSTRUCTORS PTY LTD (CAMPBELLFIELD)
 Tested by
 AC

 Project
 ASTON ESTATE - STAGE 17
 Date tested
 16/01/17

 Location
 CRAIGIEBURN
 Checked by
 JHF

Feature CLASS 3 Layer thickness 150 mm Time: 09:35:29

| Test No | | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
|---|----------|---------|--|--------------|--------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Location | | | <u>. </u> | Elevation | Boulevard | | |
| (| Chainage | 25 | 75 | 125 | 175 | 225 | 275 |
| - | Offset | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| | 5 | south | north | south | north | south | north |
| | | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb |
| Approximate depth from F.S.L. | т | | G | G. 11212 | G | | |
| Measurement depth | mm | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 |
| Field wet density | t/m³ | 2.19 | 2.18 | 2.16 | 2.23 | 2.18 | 2.19 |
| Field dry density | t/m³ | 1.99 | 1.98 | 1.97 | 2.02 | 1.99 | 2.00 |
| Field moisture content | % | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Date of assignment Material source and location Compactive effort | | | 20mm (| CC Class 3 - | 01/17 Alex Fraser, IFIED | Epping | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Maximum Dry Density | t/m³ | | | 2.0 |)2 | | |
| Optimum Moisture Content | % | | | 11 | .5 | | |
| Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 | | | | | | | |
| Oversize rock retained on sieve | mm | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Percent of oversize material | wet | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Percent of oversize material | dry | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Adjusted Maximum Dry Density | t/m³ | - | - | | | | - |
| Adjusted Optimum Moisture Content | t % | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | 4.50/ | 4.50/ | 0.50/ | 4.50/ | 0.00/ | 1 0 00/ |
| Moisture Variation From | | 1.5% | 1.5% | 2.5% | 1.5% | 2.0% | 2.0% |
| | | dry | dry | dry | dry | dry | dry |
| Optimum Moisture Conten | it | шу | G <i>y</i> | | | | |
| | nt % | 85.0 | 85.5 | 78.0 | 87.0 | 82.0 | 81.5 |



July 5

A581ASSIGNED V1.13 MAR 13

The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/National standards. Accredited for compliance to ISO/IEC 17025.

Accreditation No 9909



 CIVIL GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES
 Report No
 16623/R006

 6 - 8 Rose Avenue, Croydon, Vic 3136
 Date Issued
 18/01/17

ClientWINSLOW CONSTRUCTORS PTY LTD (CAMPBELLFIELD)Tested byACProjectASTON ESTATE - STAGE 17Date tested16/01/17LocationCRAIGIEBURNChecked byJHF

Feature CLASS 3 Layer thickness 150 mm Time: 10:13:37

| Test No | | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
|--|----------|---------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Location | | | Ca | armichael Ro | ad | |
| (| Chainage | 50 | 100 | 150 | 200 | 250 |
| | Offset | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| | | west | east | west | east | west |
| | | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb |
| Approximate depth from F.S.L. | т | | | | | 01110110 |
| Measurement depth | mm | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 |
| Field wet density | t/m³ | 2.18 | 2.25 | 2.23 | 2.27 | 2.25 |
| Field dry density | t/m³ | 1.99 | 2.01 | 2.02 | 2.04 | 2.03 |
| Field moisture content | % | 9.0 | 11.0 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 10.0 |
| Material source and location Compactive effort | | | 20mm (| | Alex Fraser, IFIED | Epping |
| Compactive effort | | | | MOD | IFIED | |
| Maximum Dry Density | t/m³ | | | 2. | - | |
| Optimum Moisture Content | % | | | 11 | .5 | |
| Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 | | | | | | |
| Oversize rock retained on sieve | mm | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Percent of oversize material | wet | - | - | - | - | - |
| Percent of oversize material | dry | - | - | • | - | - |
| Adjusted Maximum Dry Density | t/m³ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Adjusted Optimum Moisture Conten | t % | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Т | 0.00/ | 0.00/ | 4.00/ | 0.50/ | 4.00/ |
| Moisture Variation From | | 2.0% | 0.0% | 1.0% | 0.5% | 1.0% |
| Optimum Moisture Conter | nt | dry | wet | dry | dry | dry |
| Moisture Ratio (R _m) | % | 81.0 | 100.5 | 89.5 | 95.5 | 92.5 |
| 1 / | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |



Approved Signatory : Justin Fry



 CIVIL GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES
 Report No
 16623/R007

 6 - 8 Rose Avenue, Croydon, Vic 3136
 Date Issued
 03/02/17

 Client
 WINSLOW CONSTRUCTORS PTY LTD (CAMPBELLFIELD)
 Tested by
 AC

 Project
 ASTON ESTATE - STAGE 17
 Date tested
 18/01/17

 Location
 CRAIGIEBURN
 Checked by
 JHF

Feature CLASS 2 Layer thickness 150 mm Time: 14:43:19

| Test No | | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 |
|---|----------|---------|---------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| Location | | | Ca | armichael Ro | ad | - | Elevation |
| | | | | | | | Boulevard |
| • | Chainage | 50 | 100 | 150 | 200 | 250 | 275 |
| | Offset | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| | | west | east | west | east | west | south |
| | | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb |
| Approximate depth from F.S.L. | m | | | | | | |
| Measurement depth | mm | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | |
| Field wet density | t/m³ | 2.42 | 2.42 | 2.39 | 2.39 | 2.44 | |
| Field dry density | t/m³ | 2.29 | 2.28 | 2.24 | 2.24 | 2.29 | |
| Field moisture content | % | 6.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | |
| Date of assignment Material source and location Compactive effort | | | 20m | m Class 2 - I | 01/17 MVQ, Donny IFIED | brook | |
| Compactive effort | | | | | | | |
| Maximum Dry Density | t/m³ | | | 2.: | 29 | | |
| Optimum Moisture Content | % | | | 7. | .5 | | |
| Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 | | | | | | | |
| Oversize rock retained on sieve | mm | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | |
| Percent of oversize material | wet | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Percent of oversize material | dry | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Adjusted Maximum Dry Density | t/m³ | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Adjusted Optimum Moisture Conten | t % | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | 1 | 0.00/ | 4.50/ | 4.50/ | 4.00/ | 4.50/ | 1 |
| Moisture Variation From | | 2.0% | 1.5% | 1.5% | 1.0% | 1.5% | |
| Optimum Moisture Conter | nt | dry | dry | dry | dry | dry | |
| Moisture Ratio (R _m) | % | 74.0 | 81.5 | 83.5 | 86.0 | 81.0 | |
| | | 100 5 | | 00.0 | - | 100.5 | |
| Density Ratio (R _D) | % | 100.5 | 99.5 | 98.0 | 98.0 | 100.5 | |



July Jo



CIVIL GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES

6 - 8 Rose Avenue, Croydon, Vic 3136

WINSLOW CONSTRUCTORS PTY LTD (CAMPBELLFIELD)

Project **ASTON ESTATE - STAGE 17** Location **CRAIGIEBURN**

Job No 16623 Report No Date Issued

16623/R008 06/04/2017

Tested by Date tested 19/01/17

Checked by JHF

CLASS 2 Feature Layer thickness 125 mm Time: 15:13:34

| Test No | | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 |
|--|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Location | | | | Elevation | Boulevard | | |
| | Chainage | 25 | 75 | 125 | 175 | 225 | 275 |
| | Offset | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| | | south | north | south | north | south | north |
| | | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb |
| Approximate depth from F.S.L. | т | | | | | | |
| Measurement depth | mm | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Field wet density | t/m³ | 2.30 | 2.17 | 2.20 | 2.20 | 2.15 | 2.15 |
| Field dry density | t/m³ | 2.07 | 1.96 | 2.00 | 1.99 | 1.95 | 1.96 |
| Field moisture content | % | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.0 |
| Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density Optimum Moisture Content | t/m³ % | | | | | | |
| Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve | | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Percent of oversize material | mm wet | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Percent of oversize material | dry | | - | | _ | _ | _ |
| Adjusted Maximum Dry Density | t/m³ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Adjusted Optimum Moisture Cont | _ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Moisture Variation Fro. | m | 1.0% | 1.5% | 1.5% | 1.5% | 1.5% | 2.0% |
| Optimum Moisture Cont | tent | dry | dry | dry | dry | dry | dry |
| Moisture Ratio (R _m) | % | 91.0 | 88.0 | 86.5 | 85.5 | 86.0 | 82.0 |
| Density Ratio (R _D) | % | 104.0 | 98.5 | 100.5 | 100.0 | 98.0 | 98.5 |





 CIVIL GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES
 Report No
 16623/R009

 6 - 8 Rose Avenue, Croydon, Vic 3136
 Date Issued
 03/02/17

ClientWINSLOW CONSTRUCTORS PTY LTD (CAMPBELLFIELD)Tested byACProjectASTON ESTATE - STAGE 17Date tested20/01/17LocationCRAIGIEBURNChecked byJHF

Feature CLASS 2 Layer thickness 150 mm Time: 08:52:04

| Test No | | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 |
|--|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Location | | | | Vantage I | Boulevard | | |
| Ch | ainage | 10 | 10 | 30 | 80 | 130 | 180 |
| | Offset | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| | | east | west | west | east | west | east |
| | | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb | of kerb |
| Approximate depth from F.S.L. | т | | | | | | |
| Measurement depth | mm | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 |
| Field wet density | t/m³ | 2.42 | 2.40 | 2.40 | 2.41 | 2.40 | 2.39 |
| Field dry density | t/m³ | 2.29 | 2.27 | 2.27 | 2.27 | 2.28 | 2.24 |
| Field moisture content | % | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.5 |
| Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density Optimum Moisture Content | t/m³ % | | | 2.2 7. | | | |
| Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 | • | | | | | | |
| Oversize rock retained on sieve | mm | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Percent of oversize material | wet | - | - | _ | _ | - | - |
| Percent of oversize material | dry | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Adjusted Maximum Dry Density | t/m³ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Adjusted Optimum Moisture Content | % | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Moisture Variation From | | 2.0% | 1.5% | 2.0% | 1.5% | 2.5% | 1.0% |
| Optimum Moisture Content | | dry | dry | dry | dry | dry | dry |
| opaman moistare content | | ur y | GI y | ui y | ary | u y | ч |
| Moisture Ratio (R _m) | % | 73.5 | 78.5 | 71.0 | 78.5 | 68.0 | 86.0 |
| | | 100.0 | | 20.5 | 00.5 | 400.0 | 00.6 |
| Density Ratio (R _D) | % | 100.0 | 99.0 | 99.5 | 99.5 | 100.0 | 98.0 |



July Jo

A581ASSIGNED V1.13 MAR 13

The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/National standards. Accredited for compliance to ISO/IEC 17025.

Accreditation No 9909



 CIVIL GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES
 Report No
 16623/R010

 6 - 8 Rose Avenue, Croydon, Vic 3136
 Date Issued
 03/02/17

 Client
 WINSLOW CONSTRUCTORS PTY LTD (CAMPBELLFIELD)
 Tested by
 AC

 Project
 ASTON ESTATE - STAGE 17
 Date tested
 20/01/17

 Location
 CRAIGIEBURN
 Checked by
 JHF

Feature CLASS 2 Layer thickness 150 mm Time: 09:23:21

| Test No | | 48 | 49 | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------|--|-------------|----------------|---------|--|
| Location | | Elevation | Boulevard | | | | |
| | L | | | | | | |
| C | Chainage | 700 | 700 | | | | |
| | Offset | 1.8 | 1.8 | | | | |
| | | south | north | | | | |
| | | of kerb | of kerb | | | | |
| Approximate depth from F.S.L. | m | | | | | | |
| Measurement depth | mm | 125 | 125 | | | | |
| Field wet density | t/m³ | 2.37 | 2.42 | | | | |
| Field dry density | t/m³ | 2.24 | 2.29 | | | | |
| Field moisture content | % | 6.0 | 5.5 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Material source and location | | | 20m | m Class 2 - | MVQ, Donr | ivbrook | |
| | | | | | DIFIED | ., | |
| Compactive effort | t/m³ | | | MOI | | | |
| Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density | t/m³ % | | | MOI 2 | DIFIED | | |
| Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density Optimum Moisture Content | | | | MOI 2 | DIFIED 2.29 | | |
| Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density Optimum Moisture Content Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 | % | 19.0 | | MOI 2 | DIFIED 2.29 | | |
| Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density Optimum Moisture Content Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve | % mm | 19.0 | 19.0 | MOI 2 | DIFIED 2.29 | | |
| Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density Optimum Moisture Content Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve Percent of oversize material | mm wet | 19.0 | | MOI 2 | DIFIED 2.29 | | |
| Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density Optimum Moisture Content Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve Percent of oversize material Percent of oversize material | mm wet dry | - | | MOI 2 | DIFIED 2.29 | | |
| Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density Optimum Moisture Content Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve Percent of oversize material Percent of oversize material Adjusted Maximum Dry Density | mm wet dry t/m³ | - | 19.0 | MOI 2 | DIFIED 2.29 | | |
| Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density Optimum Moisture Content Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve Percent of oversize material Percent of oversize material Adjusted Maximum Dry Density | mm wet dry t/m³ | | 19.0 - - - | MOI 2 | DIFIED 2.29 | | |
| Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density Optimum Moisture Content Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve Percent of oversize material Percent of oversize material | mm wet dry t/m³ | | 19.0 - - - | MOI 2 | DIFIED 2.29 | | |
| Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density Optimum Moisture Content Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve Percent of oversize material Percent of oversize material Adjusted Maximum Dry Density Adjusted Optimum Moisture Content | mm wet dry t/m³ % | 2.0% | 19.0 - - - - | MOI 2 | DIFIED 2.29 | | |
| Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density Optimum Moisture Content Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve Percent of oversize material Percent of oversize material Adjusted Maximum Dry Density Adjusted Optimum Moisture Content Moisture Variation From | mm wet dry t/m³ % | | 19.0 - - - - - 2.0% | MOI 2 | DIFIED 2.29 | | |
| Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density Optimum Moisture Content Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve Percent of oversize material Percent of oversize material Adjusted Maximum Dry Density Adjusted Optimum Moisture Content Moisture Variation From | mm wet dry t/m³ % | 2.0% | 19.0 - - - - - 2.0% | MOI 2 | DIFIED 2.29 | | |
| Compactive effort Maximum Dry Density Optimum Moisture Content Test procedure AS 1289.5.4.1 Oversize rock retained on sieve Percent of oversize material Percent of oversize material Adjusted Maximum Dry Density Adjusted Optimum Moisture Content Moisture Variation From Optimum Moisture Content | mm wet dry t/m³ % | 2.0% dry | 19.0 - - - - - 2.0% dry | MOI 2 | DIFIED 2.29 | | |



July Jz